

Present

Cllr Chris Storey (Mayor)	Cllr Peter Isherwood
Cllr Simon Inchbald (Deputy Mayor)	Cllr Anna James
Cllr Brian Adams	Cllr Carole King
Cllr Andrew Bolton	Cllr Robert Knowles
Cllr Maurice Byham	Cllr Denis Leigh
Cllr Carole Cockburn	Cllr Peter Martin
Cllr Kevin Deanus	Cllr Tom Martin
Cllr Jim Edwards	Cllr Kika Mirylees
Cllr Patricia Ellis	Cllr Nabeel Nasir
Cllr Jenny Else	Cllr Libby Piper
Cllr Mary Forsyszewski	Cllr Julia Potts
Cllr John Fraser	Cllr Wyatt Ramsdale
Cllr Pat Frost	Cllr Stefan Reynolds
Cllr Tony Gordon-Smith	Cllr David Round
Cllr John Gray	Cllr Richard Seaborne
Cllr Ged Hall	Cllr Jeanette Stennett
Cllr Jill Hargreaves	Cllr Stewart Stennett
Cllr Christiaan Hesse	Cllr Simon Thornton
Cllr Stephen Hill	Cllr Liz Townsend
Cllr Mike Hodge	Cllr Bob Upton
Cllr Nicholas Holder	Cllr Liz Wheatley
Cllr David Hunter	Cllr Nick Williams
Cllr Jerry Hyman	Cllr John Williamson

Apologies

Cllr Mike Band, Cllr David Else, Cllr Michael Goodridge, Cllr Val Henry, Cllr Martin Lear, Cllr Denise Le Gal, Cllr Andy MacLeod, Cllr Stephen Mulliner, Cllr Sam Pritchard and Cllr John Ward

Prior to the commencement of the meeting, prayers were led by the Reverend Alan Crawley

60/17 MINUTES (Agenda item 1.)

The Minutes of the Meeting of the Council held on 21 February 2017 were confirmed and signed.

61/17 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE (Agenda item 2.)

Apologies for absence were received from Cllrs Mike Band, David Else, Michael Goodridge, Val Henry, Martin Lear, Denise Le Gal, Andy MacLeod, Stephen Mulliner, Sam Pritchard and John Ward.

Cllr Mary Forszewski gave apologies that she would be leaving the meeting early to attend a civic engagement that had been in the diary for some time.

62/17 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Agenda item 3.)

With regard to Executive Minute no. 168 relating to the Call-In of the Dunsfold Park application, the following interests were declared:-

Cllr Kevin Deanus declared a non-pecuniary interest and left the Chamber for consideration of the item because he considered his interest to have significant weight as to undermine his ability to make an objective decision.

Cllr John Gray declared a non-pecuniary interest as he declared the same at the Joint Planning Committee which determined the application. He remained in the meeting.

Cllr Richard Seaborne declared a non-pecuniary interest as Vice-Chairman of Bramley Parish Council which takes a different stance to Waverley on this matter. Cllr Maurice Byham declared a non-pecuniary interest as a member of Bramley Parish Council. Both remained in the Chamber for consideration of the item.

Cllrs Jeanette and Stewart Stennett also declared pecuniary interests in Executive Minute No. 161 regarding Enforcement Action, but remained in the Chamber as there was no discussion on the item at the meeting.

63/17 MAYOR'S ANNOUNCEMENTS (Agenda item 4.)

The Mayor thanked councillors for their good wishes and confirmed that he was recovering well from the recent operation on his foot.

He informed the Council that he had attended Farnham Rugby Club where Farnham had successfully beaten Saltash in a semi-final match and would now be playing in the finals at Twickenham on May 6. He had also attended Guildford Cathedral to see the installation of the new High Sheriff for Surrey, Robert Napier, who lives within the borough of Waverley; and attended a service at Southwark Cathedral celebrating 150 years of King Edwards School at its location in Witley.

The Mayor thanked those who had attended or supported his recent Barn Dance, and invited councillors to also sponsor a charity bike ride in Farnham raising money for the Mayor's charity.

The Mayor wished those councillors who were standing in the County Council elections the best of luck.

64/17 QUESTIONS FROM MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC (Agenda item 5.)

The following question was received from the Cranleigh Civic Society in accordance with Procedure Rule 10:

“Why have members of the Executive, who do not live in Cranleigh, decided to reduce Cranleigh's representation on the Joint Planning Committee from four councillors to ONE sole councillor representing Cranleigh residents, and how do they justify this decision, in light of their blatant predetermination to

dump as much housing as they can get away with in Cranleigh, now, and in the future, whilst deliberately overlooking, ignoring, and dismissing significant material constraints?”

The response given was as follows:-

“Appointments of councillors to Committees do not fall within the remit of responsibility of the Executive, and are instead agreed by the Council at its Annual Council Meeting, this year being held on 9 May 2017. It is not therefore possible to comment upon the membership of the Joint Planning Committee for 2017/18 because the membership of the Committee has not yet been agreed. The composition of the Joint Planning Committee takes into account political proportionality, representation from the four Area Planning Committees and member options. There are currently 7 members (from a total of 23 members) from the Eastern Area Planning Committee which deals with planning applications for the Cranleigh area and this is a higher proportion than the other three areas of the Borough.”

65/17 QUESTION TIME (Agenda item 6.)

The following question was submitted by Cllr Robert Knowles, Haslemere East and Grayswood Ward, in accordance with Procedure Rule 11.2:-

“What written response has Waverley Borough Council made to the consultation on Stroke services in West Surrey which proposes two units in north west Surrey and no service in south Surrey, what discussions has the portfolio holder had with the CCG, NHS England, the Secretary of State and SE Coast Ambulance service?”

The response given was as follows:-

“As part of the Public Consultation for Improving Stroke Care West Surrey the Portfolio Holder for Health and Wellbeing, Cllr Jenny Else, has been gathering the views of Waverley’s residents. The main areas of concern are:

Ambulance Response Times

1. Waverley’s residents’ lack confidence in ambulance response times; especially in the south and east of the Borough to get them to the nearest HASUs and ASUs which are proposed to be located at Frimley Park Hospital and St Peter’s Hospital.
2. There is confusion about the speed of stroke diagnosis and treatment to ensure best outcome for patients. The public understanding is the ‘golden hour’ and door to needle time promoted for cardiology patients but there are a wider range of factors that contribute to securing the best outcomes for stroke patients.
3. Is it realistic that a model trialled in London will work in rural area (In London longest ambulance transfer of 17mins is indicated)?
4. South East Coast Ambulance Service (SECAMB) are in special measures - Residents have been assured that the problems with SECAMB were mainly

with Kent/Sussex and have now been resolved - Does SECamb still have an 'area wide' target time which disadvantages those living in the furthest reaches of the Borough? There is concern that a 'whole population' approach will certainly disadvantage the rural community.

Rehabilitation

1. Through conversations with Waverley residents it is also apparent that there is a lack of confidence about the ability of the Clinical Commissioning Group to provide necessary rehabilitation recommended for 6 weeks by a specially trained team (due to funding or staffing).
2. Concerns were raised about how a planned discharge from hospital to home will be funded? Where will these teams be based/managed and who will be part of these teams?
3. What does rehabilitation specialist care involve?
4. Which Community hospitals are in the proposed plan – eg Farnham, Milford, Cranleigh, Haslemere? Concerns were raised on how family and friends will visit if an ASU is not located in each area.

As part of the consultation process, Cllr Jenny Else, Portfolio Holder for Health and Wellbeing, asked Jeremy Hunt in his capacity as Constituency MP for South West Surrey to broker a meeting with all the key players to address these concerns on Friday 21 April 2017.

Attendees included: Prof. Tom Rudd Head of NHS Stroke Services, Dr. James Thallon, Medical Director NHS England - South (South East), Daren Mochrie, Chief Executive Officer SECamb, Dr. David Eyre-Brook, Guildford & Waverley Clinical Commissioning Group, Paula Head, Richard Nelson, Press & Campaigns for Jeremy Hunt MP, Chief Executive Officer Royal Surrey County Hospital, Cllr Julia Potts, Leader Waverley Borough Council and Cllr Robert Knowles for Haslemere East and Grayswood.

There was an overall consensus that with a shortage of specialists in this field of medicine that the proposed HASU's at Frimley Park Hospital and St Peter's Hospital should be able to deliver the required stroke outcomes and improved life expectancy as recommended in National Clinical Stroke Guidelines – 2016. However, it was agreed that to meet the required outcomes ambulance response and door to needle times need to ensure that the potential time lost in getting to a HASU would still provide you with the best outcome from the specialist care provided in an acute unit.

The following next steps were proposed:

1. Daren Mochrie, the new CEO of SECamb, is to produce a study of current ambulance response and door to needle times with the south and east of our Borough being of predominant interest. This will be completed by the end of June.
2. It was agreed that Prof. Tom Rudd, the country's leading expert in the management and treatment of stroke is to have an input into the study to ensure that the current ambulance response and door to needle times are able to meet the stroke outcomes and improved life expectancy when being transported to a HASU.

3. Prof. Tom Rudd will share a HASU model operated in Northumbria or a similar area which has many rural areas and has seen an improvement in stroke recovery outcomes.
4. There will be a further meeting in July where we will consider SECamb's current position in their ability to provide a fit for purpose service and discuss the practicalities of the rehabilitation provision proposed throughout the Borough and decide how best to get this important information out into the public domain.

A response to the consultation on behalf of Waverley Borough Council and its residents covering the points highlighted will be sent this week now that we are in possession of factual information on which to make an informed response.

66/17 MINUTES OF THE EXECUTIVE (Agenda item 8.)

7 MARCH 2017 (Agenda item 8.a)

It was moved by the Chairman of the Executive, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that the Minutes of the Meeting of the Executive held on 7 March 2017 be approved and the recommendations contained therein adopted.

4 APRIL 2017 (Agenda item 8.b)

It was moved by the Chairman, and duly seconded that the minutes of the meeting of the Executive held on 4 April 2017 be approved and adopted.

i. Overview and Scrutiny – Changes to the Constitution

The Leader provided reassurance to all councillors that a final proofread of the constitutional documents, as amended, would be undertaken by the Chairman of the Standards Panel, Cllr Michael Goodridge, and Chairman of the Audit Committee, Cllr John Gray, prior to the documents being finalised and produced in accordance with the Council's brand guidelines.

It was then moved by Cllr John Gray, and seconded by Cllr Richard Seaborne, that the following wording included within the Terms of Reference for the Co-ordinating Board as set out at Annexe 1A be amended to read as follows:-

“The Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Audit Committee may, **with the agreement of the Chairman of the Board**, ~~be invited to attend meetings the Board on an ad hoc basis~~ when the subject matter is such that their contribution would assist with the allocation **or addition** of items to the future work programme”.

The amendment was CARRIED.

RESOLVED that the Minutes of the Executive held on 4 April 2017 be approved and the recommendations contained therein adopted, as amended.

67/17 MINUTES OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE (Agenda item 9.)

It was moved by the Chairman of the Committee, duly seconded and

RESOLVED that the Minutes of the Meeting of the Audit Committee held on 21 March 2017 be approved.

The meeting concluded at 8.12 pm

Mayor